

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO OPINION 908: CORRECTION OF  
THE TYPE-SPECIES OF *LILIOCERIS* REITTER, 1912. Z.N.(S.) 1786

By Richard E. White (Systematic Entomology Laboratory, U.S.D.A., c/o U.S.  
National Museum, Washington D.C. 20560)

As an adjunct to Opinion 908 (1970, *Bull. zool. Nomencl.* 27 : 12) there was a reference to *Attelabus lilii* Scopoli as the type-species of *Lilioceris* by virtue of the designation by Chûjô (1951, *Tech. Bull. Kagawa Agric. Coll.* 2 (2) : 80). This is in error, for the designation by Heinze (1937, *Bull. Mus. r. Hist. Nat. Belg.* 13 (25) : 3) of *Chrysomela merdigera* L. (an originally included species) takes precedence over that of Chûjô. The error was made in the original appeal to the Commission by Selman and Smith (1967, *Bull. zool. Nomencl.* 24 (2) : 116). The type-species designation by Heinze does not alter the accepted zoological application of *Lilioceris*, for, as recognized by Selman and Smith, *lilii* Scopoli and *merdigera* L. are congeneric.

I therefore propose that the entry 2(c) of Opinion 908 set out on page 12 of *Bull. zool. Nomencl.* 27, be altered to read:

- (2)(c) *Lilioceris* Reitter, 1912 (gender:masculine), type-species by subsequent designation by Heinze, 1937, *Chrysomela merdigera* Linnaeus, 1758 (Name No. 1893).